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1. Introduction

With the rapid technology advances on mobile networks and radio communication, individual’s demand to be “always connected” continuously increases. This revolution facilitates the vision for ubiquitous services, which aid users in their every-day life activities in an intelligent and unobtrusive way no matter the place or time. And this results in the location-dependent information access paradigm, known as location-based services (LBSs).

In LBS, applications persistently keep track of user’s location in an unobtrusive manner and proactively offer them potentially useful information and services. The delivery of personalize services is built on three main pillars: continuous background position tracking, analysis of varieties of context information which should be related to users at this particular location, and user’s personal preference. It is necessary for our applications to have not only accurate location information at this particular time but also more semantic information which may or may not derived from the location data to provide more reasonable services.

A museum scenario we will use throughout this study is an ideal environment which reveals an everyday yet complex interaction situation. The factors within museum experiences can be cultural, historical, psychological, and social. From these studies, we learn that visitor’s experience in a tour of a museum cannot be assessed by a single factor. It can be influenced by previous knowledge of the visitor, visitor’s learning style, and the dynamics of other people around, such as friends, family, and even strangers. Of course, the way the artifacts and works are present can affect visitor’s experience, which are determined by exhibition design, architecture, and institution history. Last but not the least, the time of day, stay duration, room temperature and so on may all have an impact. Apparently, what visitor experience during a tour should not be universal, but adaptive based on user model, their location and interaction history. Assume that you plan to spend some time in the San Francisco Museum of Modern Art. Before starting your explorations, you launch an application on your mobile phone which is provided by the museum and ask for the recommendations. The application tells you that the most interesting section in the museum is Ocean Park #54 by Richard Diebenkorn. However, currently there are too many people standing right in front of it and it is very likely for you not to be able to enjoy the paintings at a good angle. So the application suggests that you can alternatively go check out the section of Six Self-Portraits by Andy Warhol first, which is also a popular place based on historical visiting data and you seem interested to them as well according to your preference. Besides this, it also shows the path from your current position to that section on your mobile screen. And once you get to the...
section, the application can also talk to you about the history and other information of each artwork.

In the next section, we will provide several typical scenarios of how semantics-enhanced indoor localization systems can be used in a way to provide seamless services. From these scenarios, we should be able to obtain what kinds of location semantics are most useful in indoor environments beyond spatial coordinates, and how they can be obtained from users’ location data. In Sec. 3, related indoor positioning methods are surveyed, from which we can see why they are not sufficient for providing more personalized services. Following that, Sec. 4 then focuses specifically on user modeling with location semantics. We then conclude with future issues and research direction in Secs. 5 and 6.

2. Usage Scenarios of Indoor Location Data

Traditionally, a museum visit is limited to audio guides and interactive kiosks. While in fact, a museum experience can be social, cultural, and historical and visitors might have abundant information to deal with when they visit a museum. User’s experience in a museum could be influenced by visitor’s previous knowledge, the presence of the artifacts and collections, as well as the dynamics in the environment around them including friends, family, and strangers. Other factors such as the time of the day, room temperature, and duration of visit may all have an impact how visitors enjoy their visit.

In response to these issues, location semantics, by taking into account user’s location, visit history, user’s preference, as well as environmental dynamics, intends to predict user’s behavior and make recommendation to them. In the setting of a museum, visitors will spend less time finding out which collections are desirable, thereby being able to go directly to the place of certain items they are looking for. Additionally, determining what information a visitor is trying to pull from an exhibit can be modeled by determining relationships between artifacts. If visitors examine multiple items in a certain period of time, we can use the information overlap to determine what information the visitors are trying to pull from the exhibit. This overlap can then be used to find collections with similar content and those collections will be recommended to visitors.

In this section, we create a number of use cases on how people interact with the context and other people, from which we intend to find the nature of context information and determine the design requirements for our context model and user model.

Number of people: Consider the following scenario. Visitors usually need some time to enjoy a painting, but the space around a specific item is limited and the time for visitors should be limited especially if the museum is crowded. If too many people are standing in front of a particular painting, other people might be blocked. This situation poses a challenge to a localization system, which needs to detect both the number of people in such areas and how much time they have stayed individually. And this information can be used to trigger a notification to visitors who have stayed too long to make room for other visitors.

Moving speed: Consider a scenario where an evacuation from a museum is needed and all the people in the building need to leave in a limited time. In order to be safe, all the people have to move at a minimum speed so that they can leave the building in time. And the localization should monitor people’s movement and if it finds some abnormal situation, say one person is moving really slow, then it should notify security that there might be some emergency with this specific person.

Staying duration: People may spend different amounts of time at specific locations depending on what they would do there. This timing information can also be used for detecting abnormal behaviors in some scenarios, such as visitors who spend too much time in the restroom may have an emergency situation and need help.

Acceleration: Indoor localization with high refresh rate can be used to detect user’s acceleration. A good application would be fall detection for people that need special care like the elderly or places where many people may stay together in a limited space, such as a museum. With high refresh rate, the system can analyze people’s location data in real time and further classify events such as falls or other normal and abnormal events.

Usage time of a place: From the number of people staying at a particular place and how long the duration of stay is, the system can further reason how popular a place is. In the case of a museum, certain items usually attract a lot of people. And they tend to spend much time around these artifacts. It would not be a good idea to put two popular painting next to each other, or put a popular item in a tight space.

Group of people: In a typical party scenario, there are usually many people talking and laughing and the place can be very crowded. It would not be a trivial task to find a particular person even though he/she can be just nearby. A possible way to address this challenge is to estimate the relative positions of surrounding people and classify the crowd based on their group activity, such as “five persons walking from the middle to the corner” and “three persons talking at the corner”. The underlying scheme is that in such situations, people tend to move together with others and form different groups. They might be grouped by friends, families and colleagues, or just strangers who are moving towards the same direction. This requires the localization system to detect the location of all the people in real time and analyze the similarity of their movement.

In spite of all the use cases we discuss above, we envision a system that could provide real-time location information for both human and objects in the environment, and it can provide customized navigation path for users by adapting its behavior to changes of user’s location. Take the museum scenario as an example, the system is expected to create
different tours based on visitor’s interests, his current location, schedule, physical capabilities and environmental dynamics. Moreover, the system should also update the recommended tours as these conditions change.

3. Current Indoor Localization Technologies

The state-of-the-art indoor localization is quite sophisticated. A variety of methods has been investigated to estimate indoor location of human and objects and they can be grouped into four different techniques: (1) dead-reckoning, (2) proximity sensing, (3) triangulation, and (4) scene analysis, which will be discussed next separately.

3.1. Dead-reckoning

These systems estimate a user’s location by keeping track of travel distance and direction of turns based on a previously estimated or known position. While a user is moving, the system obtains his current velocity from sensors on his body, and uses this information in conjunction with the amount of time that has elapsed since last update to derive user’s current position. These sensors could be accelerometers, magnetometers, gyroscopes, or a combination of some of those sensors. Other sensors, such as EMG, pressure sensors, Ultrasonic, Ultrasound, have also been explored.

The major drawback of this approach is that the position estimation errors quickly accrue over time if external references are not available, since the estimation process is recursive. RFID tags, ultrasound beacons, and map-matching are often used to correct this accumulated errors. Because of its cumulative error propagation and the need to combine it with other localization techniques for eliminating errors, this method might also introduce other drawbacks. If the system uses RFID for error correction, the system would have most of the disadvantages of the RFID localization such as change in the infrastructure and the need for users to carry a RFID reader. If map matching or landmarks are used for error correction, some previous knowledge of the environment is required. Also a starting point is also required, typically determined by the external references.

3.2. Proximity sensing

Proximity refers to a class of methods which determine the presence of human subjects or objects in the vicinity of sensors, which alone has limited sensing range and analysis capabilities. Common architecture of proximity sensing system is having a fixed number of sensing stations installed in the environment and determining the location of the user through receiving signals from identifiers or tags carried by users. Six different technologies to implement this kind of systems have been proposed:

Radio frequency identifier description (RFID) tags are used extensively in many indoor localization systems, where one or more reading devices can wirelessly obtain the ID of RFID tags present in the environment. The reader transmits a RF signal and the tags present in the environment reflect the signal, modulating it by adding a unique identification code. The tags can be active, powered by battery, or passive drawing energy from the incoming radio signal. Active tags usually have a larger range, which could reduce the number of tags that need to be installed in the environment. But the batteries they use would need replacement after 3–5 years. While passive tags are much less expensive, they have much shorter range. Therefore, more tags would be needed to cover a certain amount of area. The main drawback of this method is that even though RFID tags are relatively inexpensive, deploying enough of them to cover a large area can be costly. An alternative way is to embed them in the carpet, which might reduce the cost.
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Infrared (IR) has been used in various ways for detection or tracking of objects or persons. One of its advantages is that its wavelengths are longer than those of visible light, but shorter than that of terahertz radiation. Therefore, it is invisible to the human eye under most conditions, making it less intrusive compared to indoor positioning based on visible light. There are three general methods of exploiting IR signals for localization.

- Active beacons approach, which is based on IR transmitters that are installed in known positions where each transmitter broadcasts a unique ID in a cone shaped region. The user carries an IR receiver that picks up data from IR transmitters in range. The system may include only one transmitter in each room for room-level localization\(^\text{16,17}\) or several transmitters deployed in every room to disambiguate sectors of a room.

- IR imaging approach, where sensors operate in the long wavelength IR spectrum, known as the thermography region, to obtain a passive image of the environment from natural thermal emissions. The advantage of this approach is that there is no need to deploy active IR illuminators or any other dedicated thermal source, and the IR radiation can be used to determine the temperature of human body or other objects without wearing any tags or emitters.\(^\text{18,19}\) As its main drawback, passive IR approaches are comprised by strong radiation from the sun.

- Artificial IR light approach can be a common alternative to indoor localization systems using visible light. It might be based on active IR light sources\(^\text{20}\) or retro reflective targets.\(^\text{21,22}\) Microsoft Kinect\(^\text{23}\) used for video game console Xbox uses continuously projected IR structured light to capture 3D scene information with an IR camera. The 3D structure will be computed from the distortion of a pseudo random pattern of structure IR light dots. And people can be tracked simultaneously up to a distance of 3.5 m at a frame rate of 30 Hz. An accuracy of 1 cm at 2 m distance has been reported.

**Ultrasound identification (USID)** determines a user’s position based on distance between ultrasound emitters carried by human users and static receivers installed in the environment.\(^\text{24}\) Other systems may have the user carry the receivers and emitters are mounted at the ceilings or walls.\(^\text{25}\) The relative distance between an emitter and a receiver can be estimated from time of arrival (TOA) measurements or time difference of arrival (TDOA) of ultrasound pulse. A disadvantage of ultrasound is that walls may reflect or block ultrasound signals, which result in less accurate localization. The other drawback of using ultrasound for localization is required line of sight between the receivers and emitters. *Bluetooth beacons* have been designed as a short-range communication system with range of comparable size to a room,\(^\text{26}\) making proximity-based location simple to implement and relatively reliable. Basically, a group of fixed beacons continually issue inquiry packets on each possible channel, and mobile devices need to be set “discoverable” to respond to these packets, identifying themselves. Since the location of these fixed beacons is known in the system, users or their mobile devices can be located although users will have to walk slower than with other techniques because of the device delay. One of the advantages of this design is that no custom code need to be deployed on the user’s side, but is often considered as a privacy issue since anyone in the environment can track the devices by creating their own stations. Thus, more recent researches have concentrated on the user’s side scanning for the fixed beacons. Although it is more secure since Bluetooth technology does not require scan packets to identify their source address, it does require custom application code on user’s mobile device.

### 3.3. Triangulation

Different from most proximity sensing techniques which locate the user by sensing one unique identifier, a number of systems use the location of at least three known points and locate the user by triangulating the tags installed in known positions. These systems might use technologies of WLAN/WIFI,\(^\text{27-34}\) RF,\(^\text{35}\) ZigBee,\(^\text{36}\) Cellular Network,\(^\text{37}\) FM Radio,\(^\text{38}\) Digital Television,\(^\text{39}\) Bluetooth\(^\text{40}\) and visible light.\(^\text{41,42}\) Depending on the type of radio signal measurements, triangulation can be divided into angulation and multilateration method. In angulation systems, specific antenna designs or hardware equipment are needed and angle of arrival (AOA) measurements\(^\text{43}\) are used for inferring the receiver’s location from the angular measurements of at least three known points. In multilateration systems, TOA, TDOA, or received signal strength (RSS) measurements from multiple reference points (RPs) are used to estimate the receiver’s location with the help of a radio propagation model. However, indoor environment can be harsh and characteristics of the wireless signal channel in such environments might be changeable and unpredictable, which makes multipath and nonline of propagation conditions common. Therefore, these systems cannot guarantee an adequate performance. A few hybrid systems have been developed as well, in order to compensate for the shortcomings of a single technology\(^\text{44,45}\) and did show some progress on localization accuracy, coverage, or robustness. But as previous work\(^\text{46}\) has presented, fusing several technologies requires reliable measurements and complex fusion techniques. It also increases the overall system complexity.

### 3.4. Scene analysis

Scene analysis based localization is a pattern recognition method which extracts features from data collected by one or more sensors carried or worn by users or installed in the environment and compares these features with a set of prior collected sensor data that has been coupled with a specific environment. The scene can be visual images, acoustic sound, and radio frequency waves. The advantage of using this method is that accurate physical quantities, such as distance,
are not required for calculating a user’s location. However, the observed features are usually specific and unique, and are subject to re-evaluation if the environment is changed.

Computer vision based localization techniques, which cover a wide field of applications at all levels of accuracy, provide a number of advantages. While users navigate in an environment, a camera captures images of the environment, and then by matching the images against a database of images with known location, users’ position and orientation can be determined.\textsuperscript{24,47} Recently, a number of researchers have also contributed to vision-based localization using smartphone cameras.\textsuperscript{48,49} The main advantage of this method is that both the camera and computation power are inbuilt. This simplifies the process of deploying and using such a system. Besides, most of the state-of-the-art phones already have a variety of inertial sensors, such as accelerometer and gyroscope. Hybrid systems of camera and inertial sensors for localization have also been getting more popular.

Fingerprinting localization techniques fingerprint the unique signal measurement or its distribution over time from one or multiple sources at every location in the area of interests to build a map of prerecorded data. When the user is navigating, his location is estimated by mapping the currently received signal measurement against the map to find the closest match. Common metrics for fingerprinting include AOA, RSS, or time of flight (TOF) of the incoming radio signal.\textsuperscript{50} Due to its increasing prevalence in indoor environments and the existing infrastructures, WLAN/WIFI\textsuperscript{29–33} has been exploited extensively with fingerprinting schemes. Other technologies, such as Ultra wideband (UWB),\textsuperscript{50} GSM,\textsuperscript{51} PowerLine,\textsuperscript{52} and LED\textsuperscript{53,54} have been studied as well.

4. Location Semantics Modeling

Building context-aware applications to provide adaptive services is complicated. This situation can be remedied by creating a suitable user model which captures features such as user interests, user location, and other context information. Since we cannot find established models specifically defined for a museum scenario, we provide our own opinions based on state-of-the-art technologies. This section presents an object-based user model in which context information is structured around a set of entities, including human, object, and relations among them. These entities provide a formal basis for representing and reasoning about some of the properties of context information we discussed in Sec. 2.

4.1. User model

The core of a location semantics system is a user model that is dynamically updated as the user moves in the museum by considering user’s current location and events occurring during user’s visit. It is driven by the directional location tracking of users, their relative positions, as well as their interactions with the environment.
4.2. Interaction model

Based on all the potential applications we discussed in Sec. 2 for our museum scenario, we recognized several classes of interactions that exhibit different properties in accordance with their persistence and source. In this section, we formalize the analysis in a scheme for categorizing interaction based on the entities involved in the interaction.

4.2.1. Human to object

In a traditional museum setting, interaction between human and object, such as a specific painting, could be limited to audio guides and interactive kiosks. However, if both the location of visitors and artifacts are available, many customized services could be enabled:

- Multimedia presentation for different artworks can be dynamically generated and delivered to visitors taking into consideration their real-time location.
- A visitor’s stay duration in front of certain artworks could be used as the indicator of user interest and the physical path covered by the user during his visit can be used to build a user model for delivery of personalized multimedia information to enhance interactivity in the museum.
- The system could recommend certain collections to visitors based on their preference which can be manually input beforehand or their previous interaction with the artworks in the museum and show the path to a specific collection.

4.2.2. Human to human

Social interaction among visitors is known to enhance the museum visit experience. By combining the location information of multiple users and integrating the communication channel among them, social interaction is possible:

- Visitors could attach virtual comments about certain artworks for other visitors who visit these artifacts later.
- Visitors could share their comments and experiences for certain artworks with their family or group members who are also in the museum at the same time.
- Visitors could see the nicknames of visitors who had already visited a specific artwork, so they would share similar interests at the museum or to keep in touch after the visit.
- Multiple visitors could be grouped together to play certain games in teams, such as treasure hunting, to learn the knowledge about the artworks based on observation, reflection and action, and improve their learning experience by challenging themselves.

4.2.3. Object to object

A major goal of location semantics is to reveal the rich semantic linkage connecting the artifacts with each other. The linkage can be obtained from the experts who have studied these artworks for years or inferred from visitors according to their inaction history. And this linkage can be used to provide adaptive services to the visitors and enhance their museum visit experience.

- From historical data, we can easily find which two or more collections visitors tend to interact with in the same visit. This implies that these collections should not be placed far away from each other.
- If two collections tend to attract many people, it is not wise to put them side by side, which might cause congestion.

5. Challenges and Future Directions

Indoor localization is becoming increasingly important. Although many positioning devices and services are currently available, some important problems remain unsolved and it is necessary to develop an integrated and seamless positioning platform to provide a uniform solution for different scenarios and applications. Directions for future research in this area can be summarized as follows:

- Fusion techniques: Both indoor and outdoor localization have been addressed separately. While for a number of mixed scenarios where both indoor and outdoor locations are needed, the transitions between indoor and outdoor areas need be managed seamlessly and exploited as a whole. Therefore, both system integration and data fusion techniques need to be developed, but much work remains to be done in this area.
- Direct localization: Most indoor localization systems contain two steps for positioning: parameter measurement and position estimation. This method has the disadvantage of making a premature decision on intermediate parameters in their first step. This can be remedied by direct
6. Conclusion

In this paper, we provide a comprehensive overview of state of the art positioning techniques and how the system can be enriched semantically to provide adaptive services in a museum. The key feature of location semantics is the use of user model (1) to define a general and well-defined user/context model and this model should be independent of a particular positioning system, (2) to perform inference and reasoning to provide environment information and adaptive services at a semantic level. This enables the system to provide personalized services continuously and dynamically.
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